# Spring Nationals Mixed Teams 

## Bold... at least sometimes

## by RAKESH KUMAR



Rakesh Kumar describes himself as an enthusiast who makes enough errors to have plenty of material for bridge columns.

Here are a couple of interesting and instructive deals from the Mixed Teams qualifying rounds at the Sydney Spring Nationals. Let's start by looking at them as problems. Firstly, a bidding question. You hold:

- 62
- JT6
- AK643
* T82

Both sides are vulnerable. Partner is the dealer and opens $1 \approx(2+)$. RHO overcalls $1 \boldsymbol{A}$. What will you do?

Secondly, a defence problem:


Your hand is at right, dummy is at the top. As dealer, not vulnerable against vulnerable opponents, you passed because you did not have a method for opening a weak 2 showing both majors. The auction continued $1 *(2+)$ by LHO, pass by partner and $1 *$ by RHO. You overcalled $1 \wedge$, LHO rebid ${ }_{1}$ NT, partner raised to $2 \wedge$ and RHO jumped to ${ }_{3} \mathrm{NT}$, which was passed out.

Playing standard leads, partner starts with $\uparrow 6$ and you take your ace. What will you do at trick 2 ?

Here's the full deal for the first problem. The South hand is annoying - a negative double isn't possible because you don't have four hearts, the hand doesn't have enough high card strength for $2 \star$, has too few clubs to raise to $2 \Leftrightarrow$ opposite a opening that only promises $2+$ cards, and hasn't even got a half stopper in spades so you can't consider bidding 1 NT. What's left?

Board 13
Dealer N | Vul All

- A9
- AK74
- 82
* AK654
- T74
- 9532
- T975
* Q7

|  | $\boldsymbol{\%}$ | $\bullet$ | $\boldsymbol{v}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | NT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | 5 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| S | 5 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| E | - | - | - | - | - |
| W | - | - | - | - | - |

Even though teams bidding involves a lot of thin games, sometimes one gets sufficient warning to eschew the game try of the "bid game and then try to make it" variety. The $1 \AA$ overcall appears to be such a warning, suggesting that it might be appropriate to be a scaredy cat and pass.

If you do, West passes and North bids $2 \vee$, promising reversing values. Now you could perhaps consider bidding $2 \boldsymbol{A}$ asking for a stopper, but with some 16 hcp opposite your 8 hcp and indifferent shape, do you feel confident that you can make $5 *$ or that if partner does have a spade stopper, she will be able to run 9 quick tricks? You shouldn't, so the best thing to do is simply correct to $3 \AA$. The contract makes an overtrick without difficulty - in fact 11 tricks are possible but that requires dropping the off-side doubleton queen of hearts.

Most of the field failed to heed the warning of the $1 \uparrow$ overcall. Thus 11 of 22 found their way to 3 NT regardless, each conceding -200 as a consequence. Interestingly, while no one bid to $5 \boldsymbol{\AA}$, two pairs did manage to make the Moysian $4 \vee$, although the play is not trivial and two pairs went down in a heart contract.

This is the deal associated with the second problem, now in its correct orientation:


|  |  | $\bullet$ | $\vee$ | A | NT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N |  | - | - | 1 | - |
| S |  | - | - | 1 | - |
| E |  | 3 | - | - | 2 |
| W | - | 3 | - | - | 2 |

When defending at teams, the question always is: where could the setting trick come from? It appears that the lead of $\uparrow 6$ is top of nothing, so you aren't going to set the contract by returning the suit. Your only real hope is to find partner with winners in clubs, so a bold switch is required. A low club would be the theoretically best choice, catering for partner holding *AJ10x, but on this deal $\Leftrightarrow$ K would do just fine. However, the club switch wasn't found too often: while 4 NorthSouth pairs set 3NT, 9 East-West pairs made it. Others were in assorted contracts, mostly partscores in diamonds.

